

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/561,166	12/16/2005	Yoshiaki Sato	SUZ0025-US 1999	
	7590 06/26/200 NGS, JANOFSKY & V	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 9190	)92	MATHEW, FENN C		
SAN DIEGO, C	CA 92191-9092		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3764		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ı.I	
И	
1	

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/561,166	3	SATO ET AL.					
		Examiner		Art Unit	٠,				
		Fenn C. Ma		3764					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>16 December 20</u>	<u>05</u> .						
,	•								
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except t	or formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Qua	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the app	lication.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
' =	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election re	quirement.						
Applicat	on Papers								
	The specification is objected to by the E								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a								
	Applicant may not request that any objection								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	0-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P	ate					
_	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/16/05</u> .		6) Other:	atom Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/561,166 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

### DETAILED ACTION

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the title of the invention, the word "Pressue" should conceivably read —Pressure--..

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borders (U.S. 6,149,674). As broadly claimed, Borders discloses a tight fitting device including fastening means, a bag that can be inflated to apply a predetermined amount of compression, means for setting the pressure, control means for controlling the pressure, and quantification means for quantifying a quantification target (temperature), and control means capable of controlling the pressure setting based on the quantification target. Borders fails to specifically teach the use of a belt, however, the feature of providing a belt is notoriously old and well known in the art in order to better secure a compression device to the limb of a user. Referring to claim 2, as best understood, Borders has quantification means capable of quantifying at any moment, and control means capable of controlling the pressure setting means based on the quantification

Application/Control Number: 10/561,166 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

target. Borders fails to specifically teach recording means, however, in use the Borders device would require some form of 'recording means' (Applicant has not claimed any specific recording means), and it is notoriously old and well known in the art to provide a computer for recording data associated with similar devices, therefore it would be obvious to provide Borders with recording means in order to allow storing of data received from the device. Limitations drawn to specific quantification targets, are considered matters of ordinary design choice, as it is well known in the art to have control means based on various quantification targets depending on the specific study. Note cited prior art.

4. Referring to methods as claimed, Borders as modified above substantially teaches the claimed structural limitations. The method of use would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, as the claimed steps would occur during normal use.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C. Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/561,166

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 4

F.C. Mathew

June 23, 2007